

UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
WESTERN DISTRICT OF WASHINGTON  
AT SEATTLE

STATE OF WASHINGTON,

Plaintiff,

v.

AVTECH DIRECT, et al.,

Defendants.

CASE NO. C04-2171RSM

ORDER ON MOTION TO STAY  
DISCOVERY

This matter is before the Court for consideration of the parties' cross-motions on discovery. Defendant Gary Hunziker has moved for a stay of discovery because he is incarcerated. Dkt. # 22. Plaintiff State of Washington has responded with a "motion" opposing defendant Hunziker's motion. Dkt. # 25.

Defendant has shown no specific harm that will occur if discovery is not stayed. Contrary to his assertion, the interest of judicial economy is not served by staying discovery. To the extent that this defendant is unable to produce specific documents while incarcerated, the discovery deadline may be extended upon motion of any party.

Accordingly, defendant's motion for a stay of discovery (Dkt. # 22) is DENIED. Plaintiff's motion in opposition is STRICKEN as moot.

DATED this 1 day of November 2005.



RICARDO S. MARTINEZ  
UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

ORDER